

Studies on confirmation bias

- A. G. Greenwald, A. R. (1986). [Under what conditions does theory obstruct research progress?](#) *Psychological review*, 93(2), 216-229.
- Mahoney, M. J. (1977). [Publication Prejudices: An Experimental Study of Confirmatory Bias in the Peer Review System.](#) *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 1(2), 161-175.
- Merckelbach, M. J. (2002). [Peer-review: Let's imitate the lawyers!](#) *Cortex*, 38, 406-407.
- Metzgar, C. (2013). [Confirmation Bias: A Ubiquitous Phenomenon in Many Guises.](#) *Professional Safety*, 58(9), 44-45.
- Nickerson, R. S. (1998). [Confirmation Bias: A Ubiquitous Phenomenon in Many Guises.](#) *Review of general psychology*, 2(2), 175-220.

Studies on conservatism

- Armstrong, J. S. (1997). [Peer review for journals: Evidence on quality control, fairness, and innovation.](#) *Science and engineering ethics*, 3(1), 63-84.
- K. I. Resch, E. E. (2000). [A randomized controlled study of reviewer bias against an unconventional therapy.](#) *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 93(4), 164-167.
- Kaptchuk, T. J. (2003). [Effect of interpretive bias on research evidence.](#) *BMJ*, 326(7404), 1453-1455.
- Luukkonen, T. (2012). [Conservatism and risk-taking in peer review: Emerging ERC practices.](#) *Research Evaluation*, 21(1), 48-60.
- Spier, R. E. (2002). [Peer review and innovation.](#) *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 8(1), 99-108.

Stanford, P. K. (2019). [Unconceived alternatives and conservatism in science: the impact of professionalization, peer-review, and Big Science.](#) *Synthese*, 196(10), 3915–3932.